

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

CKET NO.	ATTORNEY D	MED INVENTOR	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		APPLICATION NO.		
0850	Y JAC		IREGAMI	09/09/98	2,464	03/142.	
	EXAMINER	(6411 T	Madair 75			_	
EUTO), M		-10 (U) I I	1 100 7 200 300	1.17000	e ores	(1) (S) + S	
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER						FOR HOX 1	
	2834			M dzadu	MIRCIEL V	Fil II.A FINLS	
11/01	DATE MAILED:						
	GUITO , M  ART UNIT PAPE  2834  DATE MAILED:	06:11	MM92:/0		19928		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Office Action Commons	142 464	1 regam:
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	M. Budd	Thegam:  Group Art Unit  2834
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence address –
Period for Reply	2	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, espainer to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	y within the statutory min xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
	) (	
☆ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1, 3-14 and 16-26		is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{3-14}{4}$ and $\frac{16-26}{21-26}$ Of the above claim(s) $\frac{8-13}{4}$ and $\frac{21-26}{4}$		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)		is/ara allowed
D-Claim(s) 1, 3-7, 14 and 16-20	~- <del></del>	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
pplication Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unc	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
$\hfill\Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received	eived.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	eived in Application N	0
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received	
in this national stage application from the International B	,	· <i>"</i>
*Certified copies not received:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ttachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	🗆 🗆 In	terview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ N	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	<b>0</b>	ther

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 25

Application/Control Number: 09/142,464

Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

Applicants traversal of the "lack of unity of invention" holding has been considered. PCT Rule 13.2 does permit, under special circumstances the inclusion of in addition to an independent claim for a product an independent claim to a process for making. This would mean a single (one) method claim. Applicant's application contains 12 method claims, two of which are independent. Further, applicant has not identified any special technical feature that is part of both method and product claims. In addition, the method claim, do not inherently produce the specific structural limit actions found in the apparatus claims. For example, the actual method step, are merely generic. There is not stop of "forming a pair of flat lead terminal having a 'v'- shape at one end. Thus the lack of unity of invention finding is seen as proper and is hereby repeated and made final.

Claims 1, 3-7, 14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Ogiso in view of Penny backer. Ogiso, especially fig 16 teaches the resonator, holder, supports, electrodes and connecting layer but uses a 'V'- shaped end rather than a 'U'-shaped end for the support elements. The difference between a U and a V is not seen as patentably significant - merely an ornamental variation. However, the U shape is well known for connection to a piezp electric element as evidenced by Penny backer. Selection from ? Known lead end shapes would be within the skill expected of the row tineer. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Ogisa with U-Shaped lead ends. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.**Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/142,464

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

BUDD/pj

06/06/01

n